Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/913,736	MAEKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael R. Davis.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 November 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 11 and 12		
Prior art documents discussed: None		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to cancel non-elected claims 11 and 12 as shown in the Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Ater a Hunkori		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		